

NEW MEXICO PUBLIC EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

School District Report Card 2015-2016

#### School Grading Summary

The district grade is determined by the average of school grades in the district.

# District Grade C

For a description of status, see page 2.							
	Total Number	Percent					
Schools Rated in District	1	100.0					
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0					
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0					
Schools in Strategic Status	0	0.0					
Schools in Reward Status	0	0.0					
	Source: PED Act	countability Bureau					

#### What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965 requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. New Mexico statute specifies additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through an A-F letter grade for each school. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/.

#### What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and postsecondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally authorized charter schools, and all stateauthorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

#### What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile
Accountability
Summaries of School Grades
Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)
Status of Non-Graduates
Achievement
Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science
NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8
School Board Member Training
Budgeted Expenditures
Teacher Credentials
Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)
Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

### **Aldo Leopold Charter**

### **Definitions and Abbreviations**

LEA Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

#### Subgroups

Asian:	Asian or Pacific Islander
Afr Am:	African American
Amer Indian	: American Indian
Cauc:	Caucasian
ELL:	English Language Learners
ED:	Economically Disadvantaged as determined by
	eligibility for Free or Reduced Price Lunch Program
SWD:	Students with disabilities; does not include special
	education students who are gifted
Q1:	The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students
	in reading or mathematics
Q3:	The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of
	students in reading or mathematics

High/Low Poverty Schools Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%) and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

**Recently Arrived** These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demographics							
	LEA		State				
	Number	%	Number	%			
All Students	136	100.0	335,694	100.0			
Female	59	43.4	164,149	48.9			
Male	77	56.6	171,545	51.1			
Caucasian	91	66.9	82,116	24.5			
African American	1	0.7	7,302	2.2			
Hispanic	44	32.4	205,853	61.3			
Asian	0	0.0	4,345	1.3			
American Indian	0	0.0	35,543	10.6			
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	535	0.2			
Multiracial	0	0.0	12	0.0			
ED	52	38.2	240,438	71.6			
SWD	22	16.2	49,729	14.8			
ELL	0	0.0	48,275	14.4			
Migrant	0	0.0	329	0.1			
Recently Arrived	0	0.0	14,844	4.4			
9	Source: LEA 12	20th-day	submission to th	ne PED			

# Accountability - School Grading and Status

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are \*\*\* Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- \*\* Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- \* Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups) ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to its overall letter grade and, where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2016 represented 654 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Aldo Leopold Charter	С		

# Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-11 and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11. Note that proficiencies do not include the assessment for grades KN, 1 and 2.

		Rea	ading	Mathematics		Scie	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
6	State Current	24	76	20	80		
6	State Prior	22	78	19	81		
6	LEA Current	24	76	10	91		
6	LEA Prior	38	63	19	81		
7	State Current	23	77	18	82	45	55
7	State Prior	21	79	15	85	40	60
7	LEA Current	17	83	22	78	61	39
7	LEA Prior	63	37	21	79	75	25
8	State Current	26	74	20	81		
8	State Prior	23	77	17	83		
8	LEA Current	56	44	19	81		
8	LEA Prior	36	64	19	81		
9	State Current	27	73	18	82		
9	State Prior	27	73	16	84		
9	LEA Current	42	58	42	58		
9	LEA Prior	64	36	47	53		
10	State Current	32	68	14	87		
10	State Prior	31	69	12	88		
10	LEA Current	48	52	33	67		
10	LEA Prior	46	54	12	88		
11	State Current	45	55	10	90	39	61
11	State Prior	44	56	10	90	36	64
11	LEA Current	60	40	11	89	74	26
11	LEA Prior	92	8	21	79	62	38
Blanks or I	missing rows indicate t	oo few students to re	port (N<10)				

# Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup

		Reading		Mathematics		Science	
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
All Students	LEA Current	41	59	22	78	68	32
All Students	State Current	28	72	20	80	43	57
Female	State Current	34	66	20	80	41	59
Female	LEA Current	52	48	14	86	79	21
Male	LEA Current	34	66	28	72	56	44
Male	State Current	22	78	20	80	44	56
Caucasian	LEA Current	46	54	25	75	83	17
Aldo Leopold Charter				Page 2 of 5	School	District Report Ca	ard 2015-2016

		Reading		Mathematics		Scien	се
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
Caucasian	State Current	43	57	33	67	64	36
African American	State Current	24	76	15	85	38	62
Hispanic	State Current	23	77	16	84	37	63
Hispanic	LEA Current	34	66	17	83	38	62
Asian	State Current	55	45	48	52	65	35
American Indian	State Current	17	83	11	89	22	78
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	42	58	24	76	53	47
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	21	79	15	85	34	66
Students w Disabilities	LEA Current	14	86	18	82		
Students w Disabilities	State Current	7	93	7	93	16	84
English Language Learners	State Current	8	92	7	93	11	89

# Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School

	Re	Reading		Mathematics		nce
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
Aldo Leopold Charter	41	59	22	78	68	32
Slanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested grades 3 through 11 will not have data. Source: PED Accountability Bureau						

#### **Budgeted Expenditures**

Locally authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes its locally authorized charter schools.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
	Amount \$	Percent %
Capital Outlay	\$83,089	4.5
Central Services	\$84,009	4.5
Community Services	\$48,012	2.6
Debt Service	\$0	0.0
Food Services	\$0	0.0
General Administration	\$74,397	4.0
Instruction	\$885,572	47.7
Instructional Support Services	\$3,381	0.2
Operations & Maintenance	\$82,949	4.5
Other Support Services	\$0	0.0
School Administration	\$296,838	16.0
Student Support Services	\$298,165	16.1
Student Transportation	\$0	0.0
	Source: PED School Budget	and Financial Analysis Bureau

### **School Board Training**

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member		Number of Points				
Dale Lane		5				
David Peck		5				
Jose Herrera		5				
Ken Stone		5				
Mary Gruszka		5				
Shauna McCosh		5				
	Source: NM School Board Association					

#### Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2015

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	68.6	73.6	61.0	67.2	78.9	62.9	63.5	59.3	64.0
Aldo Leopold Charter	76.2	79.8					73		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).	o report (N<10). Source: PED Accountability Bureau								

## Graduation - 5-Year Cohort of 2014

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014, and either graduated on time or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All	Afr			Amer			
	Students Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
Aldo Leopold Charter	Page 3 of 5				ol District	Report	Card 201	5-2016

	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%			
State Current	70.5	76.4	65.8	69.0	86.1	62.9	64.6	59.5	66.3			
Aldo Leopold Charter	67.3	65.5		70.0			54.9					
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Source: PED Accountability Bureau						

#### Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2015, Status of Non-Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Cohort Graduation Rate Technical Manual on the PED website:

http://ped.state.nm.us/ped/Graduation\_guides.html.

State Current	<2	29	6	3
	%	%	%	%
	but did not pass exit exam	or whereabouts unknown	get GED or vocational credential	enrollment past 4th year
	Completed coursework	Dropped out	Exited with intent to	Continued high school
	Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled

Aldo Leopold Charter Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).

S

Source: PED Accountability Bureau

#### **College Going and College Credit Accumulation**

These figures represent students who graduated in 2014 (College Going) and 2012 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

Eligible Students earning a regular high school diploma.

**Enrolled** Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All Students N	Cauc N	Afr Amer N	Hisp N	Asian N	Amer Indian N	ED N	SWD N	ELL N
LEA Current	Eligible	16								
LEA Current	Enrolled in state									
LEA Current	Enrolled out of state									
LEA Current	Credits Earned									
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Source: National Stud							tudent Clea	ringhouse		

#### Teacher Credentials Statewide LEA % % .3 .0 Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials **High Poverty Schools** NA NA Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers Low Poverty Schools 2.2 .0 NA= Not applicable: LEA did not have schools that qualified as high or low poverty. Highest Degree\* Professsional Qualifications Aldo Leopold Charter 13 30.8 69.2 0.0 \* Does not include Below Bachelors

Blank=no data available or not applicable

Source: LEA 120th-day submission to PED

#### Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- Q6 School staff maintain consistent discipline, which is conducive to learning.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.

Aldo Leopold Charter

Page 4 of 5

School District Report Card 2015-2016

# Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	0											
	Suprov	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)										
	Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	
LEA Current	19	94	73	94	100	89	89	84	94	100	84	
Aldo Leopold Charter	19	94	73	94	100	89	89	84	94	100	84	
Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annually												

#### National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

# **Statewide Participation 2015**

	Reading %	Math %	Science %								
4th Grade ELL	91	95	95								
4th Grade SWD*	93	88	93								
8th Grade ELL	92	95	96								
8th Grade SWD*	89	90	92								
* NAEP does not accommodate students with severe											

NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities.

NAEP does not replace assessments that annually measure student performance according
to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards-based
assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts.
Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results
are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

4th		Reading	2015)			Math (	2015)		Science (2015)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	
New Mexico	4	19	31	46	3	24	47	27	#	24	40	37	
Nation	8	27	33	32	7	32	42	19	1	36	39	25	
8th	Reading (2015)				Math (2015)			Science (2015)					
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	
New Mexico	1	19	45	35	3	17	41	39	1	20	35	45	
Nation	3	29	42	25	8	24	38	30	2	31	34	33	
# Rounds to zero					·				·				