# School District Report Card 2017-2018

# **Aldo Leopold Charter**

# **School Grading Summary**

The district grade is determined by the average of school grades in the district.

For a description of status, see page 2.

Total Number Percent

Schools Rated in District 1 100.0

Schools in CSI Status 0 0.00

Schools in TSI Status 0 0.00

Source: PED Accountability Bureau

0.0

0

# What are school grades?

Schools in MRI Status

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965 requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. New Mexico statute specifies additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through an A-F letter grade for each school. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/.

#### What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, and teacher qualifications. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include traditional public schools, locally authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

#### What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

LEA Demographic Profile

Accountability

**Summaries of School Grades** 

Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)

Status of Non-Graduates

Achievement

Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8

School Board Member Training

**Actual Expenditures** 

**Teacher Credentials** 

#### **Definitions and Abbreviations**

<u>LEA</u> Local Educational Authority is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

# Subgroups

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by

eligibility for Free or Reduced Price Lunch Program

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special

education students who are gifted

<u>High/Low Poverty Schools</u> Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%) and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

<u>Recently Arrived</u> These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

	LEA		State	
	Number	%	Number	%
All Students	153	100.0	335,793	100.0
Female	75	49.0	163,876	48.8
Male	78	51.0	171,917	51.2
Caucasian	105	68.6	80,432	24.0
African American	1	0.7	7,739	2.3
Hispanic	43	28.1	206,856	61.6
Asian	3	2.0	4,458	1.3
American Indian	1	0.7	35,655	10.6
Pacific Islander	0	0.0	653	0.2
Multiracial	0	0.0	27	0.0
ED	90	58.8	250,974	74.7
SWD	28	18.3	54,359	16.2
ELL	0	0.0	50,179	14.9
Migrant	0	0.0	538	0.2
Recently Arrived	0	0.0	50,178	14.9

# **Accountability - School Grading and Designation**

In addition to earning a letter grade, a school may also earn one of three designations that signify the level of required school support and improvement activities:

- \*\*\* More Rigorous Interventions (MRI): To be identified as in need of More Rigorous Interventions (MRI), schools have earned 5 or 6 "F" grades in a row and are the four most struggling schools in the State.
- \*\* Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI): To be identified as in need of Comprehensive Support and Improvement (CSI), the school is in the lowest-performing five percent of Title I schools or is a high school where at least one-third of the students are not graduating on time.
- \* Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI): To be identified as in need of Targeted Support and Improvement (TSI), one or more subgroups of students (for example, race/ethnicity) are performing significantly lower than the rest of the student population.

A school's designation, if applicable, is noted next to its overall letter grade.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Aldo Leopold Charter	С		

# **Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade**

This table shows the school- and LEA-level results of statewide assessments administered during the 2017-2018 school year. These assessments include the Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers (PARCC) assessment, the New Mexico Alternate Performance Assessment (NMAPA), the Standards Based Assessment (SBA) in Science and Spanish Reading, and the Istation assessment of early literacy skills. In order to protect student privacy, some results have been left blank or reported as a percentage range.

		Rea	ading	Mathematics		Scie	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
6	State Current	29	71	21	79		
6	State Prior	26	74	20	80		
6	LEA Current	38	62	29	71		
6	LEA Prior	31	69	21	79		
7	State Current	30	70	21	79	43	57
7	State Prior	27	73	17	83	45	55
7	LEA Current	19	81	19	81	52	48
7	LEA Prior	37	63	≤ 20	≥ 80	68	32
8	State Current	30	70	22	78		
8	State Prior	29	71	21	79		
8	LEA Current	38	62	25	75		
8	LEA Prior	25	75	38	62		
9	State Current	29	71	19	81		
9	State Prior	26	74	17	83		
9	LEA Current	36	64	36	64		
9	LEA Prior	54	46	42	58		
10	State Current	33	67	15	85		
10	State Prior	32	68	15	85		
10	LEA Current	62	38	24	76		
10	LEA Prior	61	39	44	56		
11	State Current	42	58	10	90	27	73
11	State Prior	43	57	9	91	35	65
11	LEA Current	61	39	33	67	52	48
11	LEA Prior	65	35	30	70	65	35
Blanks or	missing rows indicate	too few students to re	port (N<10)				
Al-I- I	ald Charter		<u> </u>	D	2 2 of F	Cahaal District Dan	0 1 0047 0040

#### **Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup** Reading **Mathematics Science** Not Not Not Proficient Proficient Proficient Proficient **Proficient Proficient** (%) (%)(%)(%) (%) (%) State Current 39 61 21 79 38 62 All Students **LEA Current** 60 26 74 48 40 52 All Students State Current 44 56 21 79 37 63 Female LEA Current 52 48 28 72 43 57 Female State Current 34 66 21 79 39 61 Male 24 76 LEA Current 26 74 64 36 Male State Current 40 54 46 35 65 60 Caucasian 49 51 31 69 64 36 LEA Current Caucasian State Current 84 African American 36 64 16 33 67 LEA Current African American 18 82 State Current 34 66 33 67 Hispanic **LEA Current** 21 79 15 85 45 55 Hispanic State Current 62 38 50 50 61 39 Asian **LEA Current** Asian American Indian State Current 29 71 12 88 21 79 **LEA Current** American Indian State Current 33 67 16 84 31 69 **Economically Disadvantaged Economically Disadvantaged** LEA Current 43 57 28 72 47 53 State Current 86 93 14 86 Students w Disabilities 14 **LEA Current** 88 ≤ 10 ≥ 90 ≤ 20 ≥ 80 12 Students w Disabilities English Language Learners, Current State Current 79 92 21 8 13 87

# **Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School**

English Language Learners, Current LEA Current

_	•					
	Re	Reading		natics	Scier	nce
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
Aldo Leopold Charter	40	60	26	74	52	48
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).			•		Source: PED /	Accountability Bureau

#### **Actual Expenditures**

Locally authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes its locally authorized charter schools.

•	•	
	Amount	Percent
	\$	%
Capital Outlay	\$189,847	7.8
Central Services	\$127,545	5.2
Community Services	\$39,129	1.6
Debt Service	\$0	0.0
Food Services	\$34,928	1.4
General Administration	\$151,563	6.2
Instruction	\$1,270,996	52.0
Instructional Support Services	\$3,620	0.1
Operations & Maintenance	\$100,934	4.1
Other Support Services	\$0	0.0
School Administration	\$204,426	8.4
Student Support Services	\$321,295	13.1
Student Transportation	\$0	0.0
	Source: PED School Budget	and Financial Analysis Bureau

# **School Board Training**

School district board members must complete a total of five hours of training annually. The annual training requirement varies for new and continuing stateauthorized charter board members, and it must include training in specific topics. Please visit

https://webnew.ped.state.nm.us/bureaus/ac countability/district-report-cards for specific requirements.

Board Member	Met Requirement
AJ Sandoval	No
Christa Osborn	No
David Peck	Yes
Martin Maxwell	No
Mary Gruszka	Yes
Shauna McCosh	No
Source: NM S	chool Board Association and

Source: NM School Board Association and PED Options for Parents and Families Division

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2017, and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	71	76	68	71	85	61	66	62	68
LEA Current	67	71		60			64	66	78
Aldo Leopold Charter	67	71		60			64	66	78
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Source	e: PED Acc	ountability E	Bureau

# **Graduation - 5-Year Cohort of 2016**

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2016, and either graduated on time or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	76	80	68	75	87	69	73	70	73
LEA Current	90	91		89					
Aldo Leopold Charter	90	91		89					
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						So	urce: PED	Accountabili	ity Bureau

# **Graduation - 6-Year Cohort of 2015**

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, and either graduated on time or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	77	81	72	76	86	73	74	71	75
LEA Current	92	97		62			96	73	56
Aldo Leopold Charter	92	97		62			96	73	56
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						So	urce: PED	Accountabili	ty Bureau

# Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2017, Status of Non-Graduates

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2017, but did not graduate. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students. Percentages do not use the Shared Accountability method of calculation. For details see the Cohort Graduation Rate Technical Manual on the PED website:

https://webnew.ped.state.nm.us/bureaus/accountability/graduation/.

	·, · · · · · · · , · · · · · · · · · ·	,,, 0			
		Certificate	Status Unknown	Exit Out	Still Enrolled
		Completed coursework	Dropped out	Exited with intent to	Continued high school
		but did not	or whereabouts	get GED or	enrollment
		pass exit exam	unknown	vocational credential	past 4th year
		%	%	%	%
State Current		<2	15	4	10
LEA Current		<2	6	11	11
Aldo Leopold Charter		<2	6	11	11
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10	).			Source	ce: PED Accountability Bureau

		State	ewide	LEA
			%	%
		0	.8	0.0
High Povert	2	6	NA	
Low Povert	y Schools	2	2	NA
igh or low pove	erty.			
	Highest D	egree*	Core (	Classes Not
Number			Taugh	t by Highly
	Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualifi	ed Teachers
Teachers				
18	44.4	55.6		0.0
	Low Povertigh or low pove  Number of Teachers	Number of Bachelor's Teachers %	High Poverty Schools 2 Low Poverty Schools 2 igh or low poverty.  Highest Degree*  Number of Bachelor's Advanced Teachers % %	Low Poverty Schools 2.2  igh or low poverty.  Highest Degree*  Number  of  Bachelor's Advanced  Qualific

# **National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results**

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments that annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards-based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation										
	Reading %	Math %	Science %							
4th Grade ELL	95	95	95							
4th Grade SWD*	91	92	93							
8th Grade ELL	91	93	96							
8th Grade SWD*	90	90	92							

<sup>\*</sup> NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities.

4th	Reading (2017)				Math (2017)				Science (2015)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	
New Mexico	5	19	29	46	4	23	42	31	#	24	40	37	
Nation	9	27	31	33	8	32	39	21	1	36	39	25	

8th	Reading (2017)				Math (2017)				Science (2015)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	
New Mexico	2	22	41	34	5	16	37	43	1	20	35	45	
Nation	4	31	41	25	10	24	36	31	2	31	34	33	

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero