## **Aldo Leopold Charter**

# School Grading Summary

The district grade is determined by the average of school grades in the district.

For a description of status, see page 2.

	, -	
	Total Number	Percent
Schools Rated in District	1	100.0
Schools in Priority Status	0	0.0
Schools in Focus Status	0	0.0
Schools in Strategic Status	0	0.0
Schools in Reward Status	0	0.0

Source: PED Accountability Bureau

#### What are school grades?

School Grading is part of state and federal law that mandates accountability for all public schools. The Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) enacted in 1965 requires schools to show annual improvement in mathematics and reading. New Mexico statute specifies additional requirements that schools demonstrate progress through an A-F letter grade for each school. Individual school report cards can be found online at http://aae.ped.state.nm.us/.

#### What are School District Report Cards?

Each LEA under the jurisdiction of the Public Education Department (PED) annually receives a comprehensive report of their achievement, accountability, teacher qualifications, and post-secondary success. This report is compiled for 89 districts that include regular and locally authorized charter schools, and all state-authorized charter schools. Non-PED schools are exempt from both school grading and School District Report Cards and include private, home, and Bureau of Indian Education schools.

#### What is contained in this report?

This report provides a concise summary of the LEA and its schools:

- LEA Demographic Profile
- Accountability
  - Summaries of School Grades
  - · Cohort Graduation Rates (4, 5, and 6 Year)
  - $^{\circ}$  Status of Non-Graduates
- Achievement
  - $\circ$  Proficiencies in Reading, Mathematics, and Science
  - NAEP Statewide Summary for Grades 4 and 8
- School Board Member Training
- Budgeted Expenditures
- Teacher Credentials
- Post-Secondary Achievement (College Going, Credit Accumulation)
- Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

#### **Definitions and Abbreviations**

<u>LEA</u> Local Educational Agency is a broad term that encompasses districts with multiple schools or independent state-authorized charter schools. Locally authorized charter schools are not LEAs and are reported with their parent district.

#### Subgroups

Asian: Asian or Pacific Islander
Afr Am: African American
Amer Indian: American Indian
Cauc: Caucasian

ELL: English Language Learners

ED: Economically Disadvantaged as determined by

eligibility for Free or Reduced Price Lunch Program

SWD: Students with disabilities; does not include special

education students who are gifted

Q1: The lowest performing 25% (one quarter) of students

in reading or mathematics

Q3: The higher performing 75% (three quarters) of

students in reading or mathematics

<u>High/Low Poverty Schools</u> Schools with students most economically disadvantaged (top 25%) and least disadvantaged (bottom 25%).

<u>Recently Arrived</u> These are ELL students new to U.S. schools who qualify for exemption from the reading assessment.

Student Demographics							
	LEA		State				
	Number	%	Number	%			
All Students	154	0.0	336,326	100.0			
Female	72	46.8	164,089	48.8			
Male	82	53.2	172,237	51.2			
Caucasian	98	63.6	81,394	24.2			
African American	2	1.3	7,600	2.3			
Hispanic	52	33.8	206,348	61.4			
Asian	2	1.3	4,457	1.3			
American Indian	0	0.0	35,884	10.7			
ED	87	56.5	249,348	74.1			
SWD	32	20.8	52,927	15.7			
ELL	0	0.0	45,669	13.6			
Migrant	0	0.0	428	0.1			
Recently Arrived	0	0.0	16,801	5.0			
	Source: LEA 120th-day submission to the PED						

## **Accountability - School Grading and Status**

Status refers to schools that are in some form of improvement that requires increased monitoring and educational enhancement. The improvement categories are

\*\*\* Priority Status (5% of schools that are lowest performing)

- \*\* Focus Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- \* Strategic Status (additional 10% of schools that are low performing with large gaps between lower and higher performing groups)
- ^ Reward Status (the top 5% of schools in the state)

A school's status is footnoted next to its overall letter grade and, where blank, means the school is not in any status. Only schools receiving Title I funds are eligible, which in 2017 represented 685 schools.

School	Overall Grade	School	Overall Grade
Aldo Leopold Charter	В		

# **Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Grade**

The assessments were developed to measure grade-level standards that New Mexico educators and the public determined are important for students to master. Results include all students enrolled within the LEA or school, regardless of whether for a full academic year or not. Students are assessed in reading and mathematics in grades 3-11 and in science in grades 4, 7, and 11. Note that proficiencies do not include the assessment for grades KN, 1 and 2.

		Rea	ading	Mathe	matics	Scie	nce
Grade		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)
6	State Current	26	74	20	80		
6	State Prior	24	76	20	80		
6	LEA Current	31	69	21	79		
6	LEA Prior	24	76	≤ 10	≥ 90		
7	State Current	27	73	17	83	45	55
7	State Prior	23	77	18	82	45	55
7	LEA Current	37	63	≤ 20	≥ 80	68	32
7	LEA Prior	≤ 20	≥ 80	22	78	61	39
8	State Current	29	71	21	79		
8	State Prior	26	74	20	80		
8	LEA Current	25	75	38	63		
8	LEA Prior	56	44	19	81		
9	State Current	26	74	17	83		
9	State Prior	27	73	18	82		
9	LEA Current	54	46	42	58		
9	LEA Prior	42	58	42	58		
10	State Current	32	68	15	85		
10	State Prior	32	68	13	87		
10	LEA Current	61	39	44	56		
10	LEA Prior	48	52	33	67		
11	State Current	43	57	9	91	35	65
11	State Prior	45	55	10	90	39	61
11	LEA Current	65	35	30	70	65	35
11	LEA Prior	60	40	≤ 20	≥ 80	74	26
Blanks or r	missing rows indicate	too few students to re	port (N<10)		· ·		

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by Subgroup									
		Reading		Mathen	natics	Scien	се		
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)		
All Students	State Current	37	63	20	80	40	60		
All Students	LEA Current	46	54	31	69	67	33		
Female	State Current	42	58	20	80	39	61		
Female	LEA Current	51	49	31	69	63	37		
Male	State Current	32	68	20	80	42	58		
Male	LEA Current	42	58	31	69	69	31		
Caucasian	State Current	52	48	33	67	61	39		
Alda Loopald Charter				Dogo 2 of F	Sahaal	District Bonort Co	rd 2016 2017		

Aldo Leopold Charter Page 2 of 5 School District Report Card 2016-2017

		Rea	ding	Mathen	natics	Science		
		Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	
Caucasian	LEA Current	54	46	36	64	84	16	
African American	State Current	34	66	15	85	37	63	
African American	LEA Current							
Hispanic	State Current	33	67	16	84	34	66	
Hispanic	LEA Current	33	67	24	76	25	75	
Asian	State Current	61	39	50	50	66	34	
Asian	LEA Current							
American Indian	State Current	26	74	11	89	22	78	
American Indian	LEA Current							
Economically Disadvantaged	State Current	31	69	15	85	32	68	
Economically Disadvantaged	LEA Current	41	59	27	73	62	38	
Students w Disabilities	State Current	19	81	9	91	18	82	
Students w Disabilities	LEA Current	18	82	≤ 10	≥ 90	30	70	
English Language Learners, Current	State Current	20	80	10	90	16	84	

Achievement - Proficiency Summaries by School							
	Re	ading	Mather	natics	Scier	nce	
	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	Proficient (%)	Not Proficient (%)	
Aldo Leopold Charter	46	54	31	69	67	33	
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10). Schools without tested gra	des 3 through 11 will r	not have data.			Source: PFD	Accountability Bureau	

## **Budgeted Expenditures**

Locally authorized charter schools manage their budgets independently of their parent district. For detailed information please contact either the individual school or the PED Budget and Finance Office for the budget analyst assigned to that school. The district summary includes its locally authorized charter schools.

	Amount	Percent
	\$	%
Capital Outlay	\$84,732	3.7
Central Services	\$124,479	5.4
Community Services	\$44,273	1.9
Debt Service	\$0	0.0
Food Services	\$0	0.0
General Administration	\$64,663	2.8
Instruction	\$1,182,532	51.4
Instruction Support Services	\$86	0.0
Operations & Maintenance	\$111,558	4.8
Other Support Services	\$0	0.0
School Administration	\$337,065	14.7
Student Support Services	\$350,846	15.3
Student Transportation	\$0	0.0
	Source: PED School Budget	and Financial Analysis Bureau

# **School Board Training**

School board members must accumulate five points during the year by attending specific training. These figures do not reflect additional training that board members may have received.

Board Member		lumber f Points
Christa Osborn		5
David Peck		5
Jose Herrera		5
Ken Stone		5
Martin Maxwell		5
Mary Lou Gruska		5
Shauna McCosh		5
	Source: NM School Board	Association

# **Graduation - 4-Year Cohort of 2016**

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2016, and graduated on time. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	71	76	61	71	81	63	67	62	67
Aldo LEopold Charter	59	53							
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Source	e: PED Acc	ountability E	Bureau

# Graduation - 5-Year Cohort of 2015

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2015, and either graduated on time or required one additional year. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All		Afr			Amer			
	Students	Caucasian	Amer	Hispanic	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
State Current	75	79	68	74	84	71	72	68	73
Aldo Leopold Charter	79	80					80		
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						So	urce: PED	Accountabili	ty Bureau

## Graduation - 6-Year Cohort of 2014

These figures represent students who were expected to graduate on time by August 1, 2014, and either graduated on time or required up to two additional years. Graduation cohorts include all students who were ever enrolled during the four years, including part-time students.

	All Students %	Caucasian %	Afr Amer %	Hispanic %	Asian %	Amer Indian %	ED %	SWD %	ELL %
State Current	79	83	76	78	91	75	75	72	76
Aldo Leopold Charter	75	≥ 80							
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						So	urce: PED	Accountabil	ity Bureau

## **College Going and College Credit Accumulation**

These figures represent students who graduated in 2015 (College Going) and 2013 (Credits Earned) and were tracked for post-secondary education both inside and outside the state.

**Eligible** Students earning a regular high school diploma.

**Enrolled** Students who enrolled in an institution of higher education within 16 months of earning a regular high school diploma.

Credits Earned Students who enrolled and earned one year of college credit within two years of enrollment.

		All		Afr			Amer			
		Students	Cauc	Amer	Hisp	Asian	Indian	ED	SWD	ELL
		N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
State Charter	Eligible	20	15							
State Charter	Enrolled in state									
State Charter	Enrolled out of state									
State Charter	Credits Earned									
Blanks indicate too few students to report (N<10).						Source: N	ational S	tudent Clear	ringhouse	

			State	wide	LEA
			9	6	%
Teachers with Emergency or Provisional Credentials			0	.3	0.0
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	High Pover	ty Schools	N	Α	NA
Core classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Low Povert	y Schools	N	Α	NA
NA= Not applicable; LEA did not have schools that qualified as l	high or low pove	erty.			
Professional Qualifications		egree*	Core Cla		
Professional Qualifications	Number			Taught	by Highl
		Bachelor's	Advanced	Qualified	d Teache
	Teachers				%
Aldo Leopold Charter	16	43.8	56.3		0.0
* Does not include Below Bachelors					
Doco not morate Delott Datmeroro					

## Parent Survey on the Quality of Education

- Q1 My child is safe at school.
- Q2 My child's school building is in good repair and has sufficient space to support quality education.
- Q3 My child's school holds high expectations for academic achievement.
- Q4 School personnel encourage me to participate in my child's education.
- Q5 The school offers adequate access to up-to-date computers and technologies.
- School staff maintain consistant discipling, which is conductive to learning.

  Page 4 of 5

- <del>QU Jenourstan manitani consistent discipinie, wnien is conducive to learnin</del>g.
- Q7 My child has an adequate choice of school-sponsored extracurricular activities.
- Q8 My child's teacher provides sufficient and appropriate information regarding my child's academic progress.
- Q9 The school staff employ various instructional methods and strategies to meet my child's needs.
- Q10 My child takes responsibility for his or her learning.

	Cumiou	Agree and Strongly Agree (% of Respondents)										
	Count	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Q6	Q7	Q8	Q9	Q10	
Districtwide	68	94	60	97	90	87	88	84	94	96	91	
Aldo Leopold Charter	68	94	60	97	90	87	88	84	94	96	91	
	Source: PED anonymous survey collected from parents annuall											

## **National Assessment of Educational Progress Statewide Results**

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is often called the "Nation's Report Card" because it allows the comparison of student achievement across states and for the nation as a whole. The sampling method does not allow for reporting results by district or by school. For further information please visit http://NCES.ED.Gov/NationsReportCard.

NAEP does not replace assessments that annually measure student performance according to New Mexico curriculum standards. All students are required to take the standards-based assessments, whereas the NAEP selects representative samples of students and districts. Because not all subject areas or grade levels are tested every year, these statewide results are for the most recent year assessed in that subject area and grade.

Statewide Participation 2015											
	Reading %	Math %	Science %								
4th Grade ELL	91	95	95								
4th Grade SWD*	93	88	93								
8th Grade ELL	92	95	96								
8th Grade SWD*	89	90	92								

<sup>\*</sup> NAEP does not accommodate students with severe disabilities.

4th	Reading (2015)				Reading (2015) Math (2015)					Science (2015)				
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %		
New Mexico	4	19	31	46	3	24	47	27	#	24	40	37		
Nation	8	27	33	32	7	32	42	19	1	36	39	25		

8th	Reading (2015)				Math (2015)				Science (2015)			
Grade	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %	Advanced %	Proficient %	Basic %	Below %
New Mexico	1	19	45	35	3	17	41	39	1	20	35	45
Nation	3	29	42	25	8	24	38	30	2	31	34	33

<sup>#</sup> Rounds to zero